



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/737,050	12/14/2000	Christopher Tate	583-1044	583-1044 7139	
23644	7590 08/25/2005	•	EXAMINER		
BARNES & THORNBURG P.O. BOX 2786			SHELEHEDA, JAMES R		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			2617		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/200	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/737,050	TATE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James Sheleheda	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply but you within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS first cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	ugust 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I	•	•
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.	•	•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		8(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority document		cation No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	
application from the International Burea	·	5
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.
Attachment(s)		·
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 6, line 3, "recipient servers" should be changed to --client terminator units--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-12, filed 05/23/05, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-25 under Blahut have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hendricks (6,201,536).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2617

4. Claims 1, 3, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hendricks et al. (Hendricks) (6,201,536).

As to claim 1, Hendricks discloses a system for streaming data (Fig. 1) comprising: a **content providing server** (operations center, 202) capable of storing content and communicating the content (column 8, lines 8-54) to at least a first and second client terminator unit (set top terminals, 220) via a communications network (concatenated cable system, 210; column 7, lines 1-3 and column 8, line 65-column 9, line 3), and

a **distribution server** (Headend, 208) coupled in-line between the content providing server and the at least first and second client terminator units (see Fig. 1),

wherein the distribution server is arranged to **generate** at least a first and a second onward data stream (staggered streams of a single program; column 34, lines 32-59) and **transmit** the at least the first and second onward data streams (column 34, lines 32-59) to the at least the first and second client terminator units, respectively (transmitted to the subscribers who will receive the program at that start time; column 34, lines 39-59), in response to control data (column 8, lines 31-44) and an incoming data stream received or being received from the content providing server and corresponding to the content (program package signals; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54),

wherein the at least first and second onward data streams correspond substantially to the content (column 8, line 65-column 9, line 3 and column 34, lines 32-59) and are offset in time with respect to each other by a respective offset value

Art Unit: 2617

(column 34, lines 31-39) indicated in the control data (schedule indicating staggered start times; column 8, lines 31-43 and column 34, lines 31-39 and lines 47-59).

As to claim 10, Hendricks discloses a method of streaming data (Fig. 1) between a content providing server (operations center, 202) and at least a first and second client terminator unit (set top terminals, 220), the method comprising:

receiving at a **distribution server** (Headend, 208) control data (column 8, lines 31-44) and an incoming data stream corresponding to content (program package signals; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54), the incoming data stream being received from the content providing server (column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54),

in response, generating at least a first and second onward data streams (staggered streams of a single received program; column 34, lines 32-59), and

transmitting the at least the first and second onward data streams (column 34, lines 32-59) to the at least the first and second client terminator units, respectively (transmitted to the subscribers who will receive the program at that start time; column 34, lines 39-59), in response to the incoming data stream (in response to receiving the program from the operations center; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54);

wherein the at least first and second onward data streams correspond substantially to the content (column 8, line 65-column 9, line 3 and column 34, lines 32-59) and are offset in time with respect to each other by a respective offset value (column 34, lines 31-39) indicated in the control data (schedule indicating staggered start times; column 8, lines 31-43 and column 34, lines 31-39 and lines 47-59).

As to claims 14, 18 and 22, Hendricks discloses a programmed computer (network manager, 214) for streaming data (Fig. 1) between a content providing server (operations center, 202) and at least a first and second client terminator unit (set top terminals, 220), comprising memory having at least one region for storing computer executable program code (Fig. 3; column 11, lines 14-29) and

a processor for executing the program code stored in memory (column 11, lines 21-29), wherein the program code includes:

code to receive control data (column 8, lines 31-44) and an incoming data stream corresponding to content (program package signals; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54), the incoming data stream being received from the content providing server (column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54).

code to generate, in response to the received control data and incoming data stream received or being received (in response to receiving the program from the operations center; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54) at least a first and second onward data streams (staggered streams of a single received program; column 34, lines 32-59), and

code to transmit in response to the received control data and incoming data stream received or being received (in response to receiving the program from the operations center; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54), the at least the first and second onward data streams (column 34, lines 32-59) to the at least the first and second client

terminator units, respectively (transmitted to the subscribers who will receive the program at that start time; column 34, lines 39-59),

wherein the at least first and second onward data streams correspond substantially to the content (column 8, line 65-column 9, line 3 and column 34, lines 32-59) and are offset in time with respect to each other by a respective offset value (column 34, lines 31-39) indicated in the control data (schedule indicating staggered start times; column 8, lines 31-43 and column 34, lines 31-39 and lines 47-59).

As to claims 3, 12, 16, 20 and 24, Hendricks discloses wherein the offset value is provided by the content providing server (wherein Operations center indicates the start times of the program streams; column 8, lines 31-44 and column 34, lines 32-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 11, 15, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks as applied to claims 1, 10, 14, 18 and 22 above, and further in view of Debey (5,701,582) (of record).

Art Unit: 2617

As to claims 2, 11, 15, 19 and 23, while Hendricks discloses the generating of first and second onward data streams, he fails to specifically disclose wherein data streams are generated prior to receipt of all of the incoming data stream.

Debey discloses the transmission of digital programming streams (column 14, lines 22-36), received at AV digitizing units, (72 in Fig. 7A, column 13 lines 64-67 and column 14, lines 1-21), which are generated prior to receipt of all of an incoming data stream (column 14, lines 22-36), for the typical advantage of transmitting live television feeds to viewers as they are received.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Hendricks' system to include wherein data streams are generated prior to receipt of all of the incoming data stream, as taught by Debey, for the typical advantage of transmitting live television feeds to viewers as they are received.

7. Claims 4, 13, 17, 21 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks as applied to claims 1, 10, 14, 18 and 22 above, and further in view of Ganek (5,724,646) (of record).

As to claims 4, 13, 17, 21 and 25, while Hendricks discloses wherein a processor is arranged to transmit a plurality of data streams with an offset value, he fails to specifically disclose wherein the first data stream loops at least once.

In an analogous art, Ganek discloses a near video on demand system where a program continuously transmits (loops) over a primary channel (Fig. 5b, column 1, lines

55-60) for the typical advantage of providing the video programming for an extended period of time.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the combined system of Blahut and Hendricks' to include wherein the first data stream loops at least once, as taught by Ganek, for the typical advantage of providing the video programming for an extended period of time.

8. Claims 5, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks in view of Fluss (6,304,578) (of record).

As to claim 5, while Hendricks discloses a multicast server for streaming data (Headend, 208), comprising a processor unit (column 11, lines 21-29) coupled to a storage device (file server, 215),

the processor unit being arranged to receive control data (column 8, lines 31-44) and an incoming data stream corresponding to content (program package signals; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54), the incoming data stream being received from a content providing server (operations center, 202; column 8, lines 8-54) and being arranged to store the content in the storage device (column 9, line 51-column 10, line 6),

wherein the processor unit is further arranged to generate at least a first and a second onward data streams (staggered streams of a single program; column 34, lines 32-59) for transmission to at least a first and second client terminator unit, respectively (transmitted to the subscriber set top terminals, 220 who will receive the program at that

start time; column 34, lines 39-59), in response to the control data (column 8, lines 31-44) and incoming data stream (program package signals; column 8, lines 8-30 and 44-54),

wherein the at least first and second onward data streams correspond substantially to the content (column 8, line 65-column 9, line 3 and column 34, lines 32-59) and are offset in time with respect to each other by a respective offset value (column 34, lines 31-39) indicated in the control data (schedule indicating staggered start times; column 8, lines 31-43 and column 34, lines 31-39 and lines 47-59), he fails to specifically disclose a router coupled to the processor.

In an analogous art, Fluss discloses a video distribution system (Fig. 1) wherein a cable head end (103) will include a router (105; column 4, lines 32-39) for the typical benefit of routing data packets to the appropriate users (column 4, lines 16-20).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Hendricks' system to include a router, as taught by Fluss, for the typical benefit of ensuring that data packets to be transmitted are correctly routed to the appropriate users.

As to claim 6, Hendricks and Fluss disclose wherein the router is arranged to transmit the at least first and second onward data streams to the at least first and second recipient servers, respectively (wherein the router transmits each data packet to the respective user; see Fluss at column 4, lines 34-45).

As to claim 8, Hendricks and Fluss disclose wherein the offset value is provided by the content providing server (wherein the scheduling control data is provided by the Operations Center; see Hendricks at column 8, lines 31-44).

9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks and Fluss as applied in claim 5 above, and further in view of Ganek.

As to claim 9, while Blahut and Fluss disclose wherein a processor is arranged to transmit a plurality of data streams with an offset value, they fail to specifically disclose wherein the first data stream loops at least once.

In an analogous art, Ganek discloses a near video on demand system (Fig. 1) where a program continuously transmits (loops) over a primary channel (Fig. 5b, column 1, lines 55-60) for the typical benefit advantage of providing the video programming for an extended period of time.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Hendricks and Fluss' system to include wherein the first data stream loops at least once, as taught by Ganek, for the typical benefit of providing the video programming to viewers for an extended period of time.

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendricks and Fluss as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Debey.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,050 Page 11

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 5, while Hendricks and Fluss disclose the generating of first and second onward data streams, they fail to specifically disclose wherein data streams are generated prior to receipt of all of the incoming data stream.

Debey discloses the transmission of digital programming streams (column 14, lines 22-36), received at A/V digitizing units, (72 in Fig. 7A, column 13 lines 64-67 and column 14, lines 1-21), which are generated prior to receipt of all of an incoming data stream (column 14, lines 22-36), for the typical advantage of transmitting live television feeds to viewers as they are received.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify Hendricks and Fluss' system to include wherein data streams are generated prior to receipt of all of the incoming data stream, as taught by Debey, for the typical advantage of transmitting live television feeds to viewers as they are received.

Conclusion

11. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

Application/Control Number: 09/737,050 Page 12

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with

Art Unit: 2617

sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:
Certificate of Transmission
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. (703) on (Date)
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:
Signature:
Registration Number:
Diagon refer to 27 CED 4 C(d) and 4 O(a)(0) for filling limitations accoming

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sheleheda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7357. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2617

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Sheleheda Patent Examiner Art Unit 2617

JS

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA PRIMARY EXAMINER